UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,535	12/17/2003	Michael G. Tal	TAL-001	4422
21884 WELSH & FLA	7590 01/09/200 AXMAN LLC	9	EXAMINER	
2000 DUKE ST	REET, SUITE 100		NGUYEN, VI X	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/736,535	TAL, MICHAEL G.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Victor X. Nguyen	3734		

	Victor X. Nguyen	3734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on t application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	rit, or other evidence, we with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth er than SIX MONTHS from the mailin ). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date o have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exteunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sheat forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount ortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NC /);	TE below);	
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11</li> </ul>	orresponding number of finally re		ne issues for
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	See attached Notice of Non-Co		,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>17-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See 3c.		n condition for allowan	ce because:
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Kevin T. Truong/ Primary Examiner, Art l	Jnit 3734	

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

Continuation of 3c does not place the application in condition for allowance Because: The applicant's reply and arguments 35 USC 103(a) rejection of independent 17 is not persuasive and does not overcome the prior art of record to place the claims in condition for allowance. In response to Applicant's argument that Ruiz employs the elongated vascular probe to promote revascularization, the fact that applicant uses slerosant to destroy and occluding a vein for a different purpose does not alter the conclusion that its use in a prior art device would be prima facie obvious from the purpose disclosed in the reference. See In re Lintner, 173 USPQ 560.